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## Simultaneous Inferences

- In chapter 2, we know how to construct confidence interval for $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$.
- If we want a confidence level of $95 \%$ of both $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$
- One could construct a separate confidence interval for $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$. BUT, then the probability of both happening is below $95 \%$.
- How to create a joint confidence interval?


## Bonferroni Joint Confidence Intervals

- Calculation of Bonferroni joint confidence intervals is a general technique
- We highlight its application in the regression setting
- Joint confidence intervals for $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$
- Intuition
- Set each statement confidence level to larger than $1-\alpha$ so that the family coefficient is at least $1-\alpha$
- BUT how much larger?


## Ordinary Confidence Intervals

- Start with ordinary confidence intervals for $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{0} \pm t(1-\alpha / 2 ; n-2) s\left\{b_{0}\right\} \\
& b_{1} \pm t(1-\alpha / 2 ; n-2) s\left\{b_{1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- And ask what is probability that one or both of these intervals is incorrect

Remember

$$
\begin{aligned}
s^{2}\left\{b_{0}\right\} & =M S E\left[\frac{1}{n}+\frac{\bar{X}^{2}}{\sum\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}}\right] \\
s^{2}\left\{b_{1}\right\} & =\frac{M S E}{\sum\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## General Procedure

- Let $A_{1}$ denote the event that the first confidence interval does not cover $\beta_{0}$, i.e. $P\left(A_{1}\right)=\alpha$
- Let $A_{2}$ denote the event that the second confidence interval does not cover $\beta_{1}$, i.e. $P\left(A_{2}\right)=\alpha$
- We want to know the probability that both estimates fall in their respective confidence intervals, i.e. $P\left(\bar{A}_{1} \cap \bar{A}_{2}\right)$
- How do we get there from what we know?


## Venn Diagram



## Bonferroni inequality

- We can see that $P\left(\bar{A}_{1} \cap \bar{A}_{2}\right)=1-P\left(A_{2}\right)-P\left(A_{1}\right)+P\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right)$
- Size of set is equal to area is equal to probability in a Venn diagram.
- It also is clear that $P\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right) \geq 0$
- So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(\bar{A}_{1} \cap \bar{A}_{2}\right) & \geq 1-P\left(A_{2}\right)-P\left(A_{1}\right) \\
& =1-2 \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

## Using the Bonferroni inequality cont.

- To achieve a $1-\alpha$ family confidence interval for $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$ (for example) using the Bonferroni procedure we know that both individual intervals must shrink.
- Returning to our confidence intervals for $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$ from before

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{0} \pm t(1-\alpha / 2 ; n-2) s\left\{b_{0}\right\} \\
& b_{1} \pm t(1-\alpha / 2 ; n-2) s\left\{b_{1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- To achieve a $1-\alpha$ family confidence interval these intervals must widen to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{0} \pm t(1-\alpha / 4 ; n-2) s\left\{b_{0}\right\} \\
& b_{1} \pm t(1-\alpha / 4 ; n-2) s\left\{b_{1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Then $P\left(\bar{A}_{1} \cap \bar{A}_{2}\right) \geq 1-P\left(A_{2}\right)-P\left(A_{1}\right)=1-\alpha / 2-\alpha / 2=1-\alpha$


## Using the Bonferroni inequality cont.

- The Bonferroni procedure is very general. To make joint confidence statements about multiple simultaneous predictions remember that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{Y}_{h} & \pm t(1-\alpha / 2 ; n-2) s\{\text { pred }\} \\
s^{2}\{\text { pred }\} & =M S E\left[1+\frac{1}{n}+\frac{\left(X_{h}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- If one is interested in a $1-\alpha$ confidence statement about $g$ predictions then Bonferroni says that the confidence interval for each individual prediction must get wider (for each $h$ in the $g$ predictions)

$$
\hat{Y}_{h} \pm t(1-\alpha / 2 g ; n-2) s\{\text { pred }\}
$$

Note: if a sufficiently large number of simultaneous predictions are made, the width of the individual confidence intervals may become so wide that they are no longer useful.

## The Toluca Example

- Say, we want to get a 90 percent confidence interval for $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$ simultaneously.
- Then we require $B=t(1-.1 / 4 ; 23)=t(.975,23)=2.069$
- Then we have the joint confidence interval:

$$
b_{0} \pm B * s\left(b_{0}\right)
$$

and

$$
b_{1} \pm B * s\left(b_{1}\right)
$$

## Confidence Band for Regression Line

- Remember in Chapter 2.5, we get the confidence interval for $E\left\{Y_{h}\right\}$ to be

$$
\hat{Y}_{h} \pm t(1-\alpha / 2 ; n-2) s\left\{\hat{Y}_{h}\right\}
$$

- Now, we want to get a confidence band for the entire regression line $E\{Y\}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X$.
- The Working-Hotelling $1-\alpha$ confidence band is

$$
\hat{Y}_{h} \pm W \times s\left\{\hat{Y}_{h}\right\}
$$

here $W^{2}=2 F(1-\alpha ; 2, n-2)$.

- Same form as before, except the $t$ multiple is replaced with the $W$ multiple.


## Example: toluca company

- Say we want to estimate the boundary value for the band at $X_{h}=30,65,100$.
- We have

| $X_{h}$ | $\hat{\gamma}_{h}$ | $s\left\{\hat{\gamma}_{h}\right\}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 169.5 | 16.97 |
| 65 | 294.4 | 9.918 |
| 100 | 419.4 | 14.27 |

- Looking up the table, $W^{2}=2 F(1-\alpha ; 2, n-2)=2 F(.9 ; 2,23)=5.098$.
R code:

$$
\mathrm{w} 2=2 * \mathrm{qf}(1-0.1,2,23)
$$

Now we have the confidence band for the three points are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 131.2=169.5-2.258(16.97) \leq E\left\{Y_{h}\right\} \leq 169.5+2.258(16.97)=207.8 \\
& 272.0=294.4-2.258(9.918) \leq E\left\{Y_{h}\right\} \leq 294.4+2.258(9.918)=316.8 \\
& 387.2=419.4-2.258(14.27) \leq E\left\{Y_{h}\right\} \leq 419.4+2.258(14.27)=451.6
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example confidence band



## Compare with Bonferroni Procedure

- Say we want to simultaneously estimate response for $X_{h}=30,65,100$.
- Then the simultaneous confidence intervals are

$$
\hat{Y}_{h} \pm t(1-\alpha /(2 g) ; n-2) s\left\{\hat{Y}_{h}\right\}
$$

- We have $B=t(1-\alpha /(2 g) ; n-2)=t(1-.1 /(2 * 3), 23)=2.263$, the confidence intervals are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 131.1=169.5-2.263(16.97) \leq E\left\{Y_{h}\right\} \leq 169.5+2.263(16.97)=207.9 \\
& 272.0=294.4-2.263(9.918) \leq E\left\{Y_{h}\right\} \leq 294.4+2.263(9.918)=316.8 \\
& 387.1=419.4-2.263(14.27) \leq E\left\{Y_{h}\right\} \leq 419.4+2.263(14.27)=451.7
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bonferroni v.s. Working-Hotelling

- This instance, working-hotelling confidence limits are slighter tighter(better) than bonferroni limits
- However, in larger families (more $X$ ) to be considered simultaneously, working-hotelling is always tighter, since $W$ stays the same for any number of statements but $B$ becomres larger.
- The levels of predictor variables are sometimes not known in advance. In such cases, it is better to use Working-Hotelling procedure since the family encompasses all possible levels of $X$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 131.1=169.5-2.263(16.97) \leq E\left\{Y_{h}\right\} \leq 169.5+2.263(16.97)=207.9 \\
& 272.0=294.4-2.263(9.918) \leq E\left\{Y_{h}\right\} \leq 294.4+2.263(9.918)=316.8 \\
& 387.1=419.4-2.263(14.27) \leq E\left\{Y_{h}\right\} \leq 419.4+2.263(14.27)=451.7
\end{aligned}
$$

## Simultaneous Prediction Intervals for $g$ New Observations

(1) Scheffe procedure

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{Y}_{h} \pm S s\{\text { pred }\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S^{2}=g F(1-\alpha ; g, n-2)$.
(2) Bonferroni procedure

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{Y}_{h} \pm B s\{\text { pred }\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B=t(1-\alpha /(2 g) ; n-2)$.

## Regression through the origin

Model

$$
Y_{i}=\beta_{1} X_{i}+\epsilon_{i}
$$

- Sometimes it is known that the regression function is linear and that it must go through the origin.
- $\beta_{1}$ is parameter
- $X_{i}$ are known constants
- $\epsilon_{i}$ are i.i.d $N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$.
- The least squares and maximum likelihood estimators for $\beta_{1}$ coincide as before, the estimator is $b_{1}=\frac{\sum X_{i} Y_{i}}{\sum X_{i}^{2}}$


## Regression through the origin, Cont

- In regression through the origin there is only one free parameter $\left(\beta_{1}\right)$ so the number of degrees of freedom of the MSE

$$
s^{2}=M S E=\frac{\sum e_{i}^{2}}{n-1}=\frac{\sum\left(Y_{i}-\hat{Y}_{i}\right)^{2}}{n-1}
$$

is increased by one.

- This is because this is a "reduced" model in the general linear test sense and because the number of parameters estimated from the data is less by one.

| Estimate of | Estimated Variance | Confidence Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta_{1}$ | $s^{2}\left\{b_{1}\right\}=\frac{M S E}{\sum X_{i}^{2}}$ | $b_{1} \pm t s\left\{b_{1}\right\}$ |
| $E\left\{Y_{h}\right\}$ | $\ddots$ | $s^{2}\left\{\hat{Y}_{h}\right\}=\frac{X_{h}^{2} M S E}{\sum X_{i}^{2}}$ |
| $Y_{h(n e w)}$ | $s^{2}\{$ pred $\}=M S E\left(1+\frac{X_{h}^{2}}{\sum X_{i}^{2}}\right)$ | $\hat{Y}_{h} \pm t s\left\{\hat{Y}_{h}\right\}$ |
|  |  | $\hat{Y}_{h} \pm t s\{$ pred $\}$ |
| where: $t=t(1-\alpha / 2 ; n-1)$ |  |  |

## A few notes on regression through the origin

- $\sum e_{i} \neq 0$ in general now. Only constraint is $\sum X_{i} e_{i}=0$.
- SSE may exceed the total sum of squares SSTO. In the case of a curvilinear pattern or linear pattern with a intercept away from the origin.
- Therefore, $R^{2}=1$ - SSE/SSTO may be negative!
- Generally, it is safer to use the original model opposed with regression-through-the-origin model.
- Otherwise, it is the wrong model to start with!

